

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

DONALD E. MITCHELL JR.,)	
)	Case No. 2:16-cv-00037-RFB-NJK
Plaintiff(s),)	
)	
vs.)	ORDER
)	
NEVADA DEPARTMENT OF)	
CORRECTIONS, et al.,)	(Docket Nos. 45-47)
)	
Defendant(s).)	
_____)	

The Court previously instructed Plaintiff to serve discovery on Defendants’ attorney and to not file such discovery requests with the Court. *See* Docket No. 39. The Court repeats here that discovery-related documents are not to be filed unless ordered by the Court. *See* Local Rule 26-8; *see also* Fed. R. Civ. P. 5(d)(1). No such order has been entered by the Court in this case.

As such, the Court **STRIKES** Docket Nos. 45, 46 and 47. Plaintiff is INSTRUCTED to refrain from filing discovery requests with the Court, and shall only serve such requests on Defendants’ attorney. As it appears in this case that the discovery requests have been served on Defendants’ counsel, *see, e.g.*, Docket No. 45 at 3 (proof of service), nothing herein should be construed as impacting the requirement or deadline for Defendants to respond to the discovery.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: June 1, 2017



NANCY J. KOPPE
United States Magistrate Judge